

Planning Delivery Agreements

National Planning Forum 13/12/05

Simon Leask Head of ATLAS

Draft Report Methodology

- Policy Context
- Evidence of Need
- The Role of Planning Delivery Agreements
- Defining the remit of Planning Delivery Agreements
- A Model Approach to Planning Delivery Agreements



Evidence of Need

- Lack of Project Management
- Poor Communication
- Lack of Political Will/Leadership
- The ATLAS Team
- Skills Shortages/Lack of Confidence
- Differing Expectations for Community Involvement
- Planning Policy Vacuum
- Protracted S.106 Negotiations
- Level of Detail in Planning Applications



The Role of Delivery Agreements

- Three Authorities
- Lessons from Current Examples
- How Delivery Agreements can Meet the Need



The Remit of Delivery Agreements

- Appropriate Scale of Application
- Timing of Use of Delivery Agreements
- The Scope for Developer Contributions
- The Form of ‘Contract’
- Incentives and Sanctions



Towards a Model Delivery Agreement

- Stage 1. Large Application Charter
- Stage 2. Project Protocol
- Stage 3. Project Plan



Large Application Charter

Developed with Members, Stakeholders and Local Development Industry

- LA Commitment to process
- Commitment from Statutory Agencies, ‘Service Providers’
- Set out Content of Delivery Agreement
- Expectations of Developers
- Approach to Member Engagement
- LA expectations for Community Involvement
- LA Commitment to resources



Project Protocol

- Review of Policy Position
- Review of Evidence Base
- Community Engagement Strategy
- Agree Member Involvement
- Identify need for Statutory Agency and Service Provider Input
- Methodology for S.106
- Agree Procedural Arrangements
- Resources
- Establish Shared Commitment



Project Plan

- Project Definition
- Decision Making
- Agreed Tasks and Responsibilities
- Programme of Work
- Shared Commitment
- Implementation
- Review



Conclusions

- Delivery
- Agreement
- Piloting

